

Quantum Number Exotic Hybrid Mesons and Large N_c QCD

Thomas D. Cohen

Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-4111
(U. of MD PP#98-076 DOE/ER/40762-141 January 1998)

Exotic mesons with quantum numbers of a hybrid ($\bar{q}qg$) such as $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ are shown to exist as narrow resonant states in the large N_c limit of QCD. The width of these states is proportional to $1/N_c$. Possible phenomenological implications for the world of $N_c = 3$ are discussed.

There has been considerable recent theoretical interest in hybrid mesons both from the perspective of models [1–8] and lattice calculations [9–14]. In the language of the quark model, such mesons are composed of constituent quark and antiquark and one valence gluon. Such states are of interest in large measure because they depend on physics beyond the simplest quark model. Ideally one would like to understand such a state in terms of the underlying fundamental theory of strong interactions—QCD. However, this is problematic. Indeed, the precise meaning of constituent quarks and valence gluons in terms of QCD is obscure. It is not clear just how the current quarks and gluons of QCD form into effective constituent quarks and gluons. Moreover, from the experimental side there is generally ambiguity in attempting to distinguish a hybrid from an ordinary meson. This has led to a focus on so-called quantum number exotic hybrid states. Such states have quantum numbers which cannot be constructed from a single quark and antiquark; for example, $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ or 0^{-+} . As such they are automatically exotic states from the perspective of the quark model. Recently, the E852 Collaboration at Brookhaven has reported evidence of the existence of a $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ resonance. The reported mass is 1370 MeV and the width 385 MeV [15].

From the theoretical side, it is clear that one can construct states in QCD which have the quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ or 0^{-+} . However, this does not necessarily imply the existence of exotic hadrons. After all, a hadron is not just any state of the theory—it is a resonant state and a relatively narrow one. To be unambiguously identified as a hadron the state must live for a considerable period (on hadronic time scales) before decaying. Thus the question of whether QCD has quantum number exotic hybrid mesons ultimately comes down to whether there exist long-lived resonant states with exotic quantum numbers.

An important theoretical issue is whether one can deduce the existence of narrow exotic states directly from QCD. This problem is qualitatively similar to trying to infer the existence of ordinary non-exotic mesons as narrow states directly from QCD. In the case of ordinary mesons, however, one can study QCD in the limit when the number of colors (N_c) becomes large, and use $1/N_c$ expansion techniques to deduce meson properties.

The $1/N_c$ expansion was introduced in QCD by 't Hooft as a way to formulate a systematic expansion for low energy QCD [16]. Properties of mesons in large N_c are reviewed nicely in references [17,18]. Using large N_c QCD one infers the existence of narrow mesons [17,18] (at least in a large N_c world.) The essential premise is that the hypothetical large N_c world is qualitatively similar to the $N_c = 3$ world; one can systematically improve the description by working to higher order in $1/N_c$. Ordinary mesons at large N_c have widths which are proportional to $1/N_c$ [17,18]. To the extent that the large N_c approximation is valid for the real world this explains the existence of narrow mesonic states.

This raises an obvious question: How do states with the quantum numbers of exotic hybrid mesons behave

in the large N_c limit? The central purpose of the present letter is to show that, as in the case of non-exotic mesons, exotic hybrid mesons exist and are narrow in the large N_c limit—with a width $\sim 1/N_c$. Indeed, it will be shown here that from the perspective of large N_c QCD there is nothing particularly exotic about quantum number exotic hybrid mesons. They have *all* of the same N_c dependences as ordinary mesons: the vertex coupling n_h quantum number exotic hybrids and n_m ordinary mesons scale as

$$g_{n_h, m_m} = N_c^{(1 - \frac{n_h + n_m}{2})} . \quad (1)$$

This result may seem a bit surprising to someone steeped in the folklore of large N_c QCD. It is well known that in large N_c QCD quark-antiquark components do not mix with glue states [17,18]. It is also well known that for large N_c , exotic mesons do not exist [18]. These facts are not in conflict with the present analysis. The lack of mixing between quark-antiquark and glue states concerns $\bar{q}q$ admixtures into color singlet glueball states [17,18]. In contrast, the exotic quantum number hybrid mesons have color octet glue combined with a color octet $\bar{q}q$ pair. The proof of the nonexistence of exotic mesons applies to $\bar{q}q\bar{q}q$ states only, and not to states with the quantum numbers of hybrids [18].

The derivation closely parallels the derivation in the purely mesonic case. The key ingredient is the calculation of n-point connected correlation functions of currents which carry the relevant quantum numbers. For example, a current for the ρ meson can be written as

$$J_\rho = \bar{q}_i \tau_a \gamma_\mu q_i , \quad (2)$$

where i represents the color index. An example of a current which creates states with quantum numbers of an exotic hybrid is the $I = 1$, $J^{pc} = 1^{-+}$ current. The simplest current with these quantum numbers is

$$J_{h(1^{-+})} = g \bar{q}_i \gamma_\mu \tau_a F_{ij}^{\mu\nu} q_j . \quad (3)$$

It is also useful to consider currents which create glueball states. An example which creates the 0^+ glueball is

$$J_{g0^+} = F_{\mu\nu}{}_{ij} F_{ji}^{\mu\nu} . \quad (4)$$

Generically, the simplest currents with meson quantum numbers are local $\bar{q}q$ operators; the simplest hybrids are $g\bar{q}F_{\mu\nu}q$ and the simplest glueballs are FF . Here we will restrict our consideration to these simplest forms; none of the conclusions depend on this restriction. To ensure that the hybrid currents do not create ordinary mesons one can restrict attention to those hybrids with exotic quantum numbers. In what follows, all of the hybrid sources included will have exotic quantum numbers.

The n-point functions of the currents may be calculated in the following way: First make the replacement, $S_{QCD} \rightarrow S_{QCD} - \int d^4x \sum_i j_i J_i$, with j_i as classical c-number functions and i representing all of the different meson, hybrid and glueball currents of interest. The partition function in the presence of these sources can be denoted as $Z[j]$; the connected n-point functions of interest are given by functional derivatives of $\log Z$:

$$\langle T[J_1(x_1) J_2(x_2) \dots J_n(x_n)] \rangle_c = i^n \frac{\partial^n \log(Z[j])}{\partial j_1(x_1) \partial j_2(x_2) \dots \partial j_n(x_n)} \Big|_{j=0} . \quad (5)$$

In the absence of source terms, standard analysis in terms of 't Hooft diagrams yields a leading order contribution $\log(Z) \sim N_c^2$ which comes from the sum of all planar gluonic graphs. The leading order contributions containing at least one quark line is the sum of all planar graphs with a single quark loop forming the boundary of the graph [16–18]. Consider now what happens if the source terms are included.

A typical mesonic source term will have the form $J_{m,l} = \bar{q}C_l q$ where l labels the particular mesonic current and C_l is a matrix in Dirac and flavor space. The inclusion of such terms in the action modifies nothing except the quark propagator. In particular one simply makes the following substitution in every diagram:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{i\partial - m} &\rightarrow \frac{1}{i\partial - m - \sum_l j_l C_l} \\ &= \frac{1}{i\partial - m} + \frac{1}{i\partial - m} \sum_l j_l C_l \frac{1}{i\partial - m} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The important point to notice is that this substitution does not affect the N_c counting at all— C_l is independent of color and hence the propagators including the mesonic sources carry precisely the same N_c factors as those without.

Next consider the effects of including a hybrid source. The hybrid sources are all of the form of quark-gluon vertices. Thus the inclusion of such sources simply requires one to replace all quark-gluon vertices by the original vertex $+$ $\sum_k j_{h,k} \Gamma_k$, where k labels the particular hybrid current and Γ_k is the quark-gluon vertex associated with it. The key to the present analysis is that inclusion of these hybrid currents also does not change the N_c counting. The color flow through the original QCD vertex is the same as that through the vertex induced by the hybrid current—both form two quark legs in the fundamental representation coupled to a gluon in the adjoint. For convenience a factor of g was included in the definition of the hybrid current in eq. (3) and other hybrids so that the N_c factors associated with the coupling constants are not altered by the substitution.

The inclusion of glueball sources is similar to the previous two cases. Because of the nonabelian nature of the theory, glueball sources modify both the gluon propagators and gluon-gluon vertices. Again, they do not alter the color flow at all and hence do not change the N_c counting.

Thus, inclusion of meson, hybrid and glueball source terms does not alter the N_c structure of the QCD graphs. Since all multi-point functions of meson or hybrid currents involve couplings to at least one quark line while ones involving the glueball can couple to pure glue, one deduces from the N_c scaling of the QCD graphs that

$$\log(Z[j_m, j_h, j_g]) = N_c^2 w_2[j_g] + N_c w_1[j_m, j_h, j_g] , \quad (7)$$

where j_m, j_h, j_g represent all of the strength of the sources associated with mesons, hybrids and gluons respectively; w_2 and w_1 are functionals of the j 's. Note w_2 does not depend on j_m or j_h . From eqs. (5) and (7) one sees that connected multi-point functions of n_h hybrid currents, n_m meson currents, and n_g glueball currents have the following N_c dependence provided there is at least one meson or hybrid field:

$$\langle T [J_{h1}(x_{h1}) J_{h2}(x_{h2}) \dots J_{hn_h}(x_{hn_h}) J_{m1}(x_{m1}) \dots J_{mn_m}(x_{mn_m}) J_{g1}(x_{g1}) \dots J_{gn_g}(x_{gn_g})] \rangle_c \sim N_c . \quad (8)$$

If there are no meson or hybrid currents,

$$\langle T [J_{g1}(x_{g1}) J_{g2}(x_{g2}) \dots J_{gn_g}(x_{gn_g})] \rangle_c \sim N_c^2 \quad (9)$$

It is well known in standard large N_c analysis that meson and glueball interpolating fields create only single-particle states at leading order. The N_c dependence of these is [17,18]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m | J_m | \text{vac} \rangle &\sim N_c^{\frac{1}{2}} , \\ \langle g | J_g | \text{vac} \rangle &\sim N_c . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In an analogous manner one can establish that J_h creates single-particle hybrid states with the following N_c dependence:

$$\langle h | J_h | \text{vac} \rangle \sim N_c^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (11)$$

The analysis begins with a consideration of the spectral representation for the two-point function for currents with exotic hybrid quantum numbers:

$$\int d^4 x e^{-iq \cdot x} \langle T [J_h^\dagger(x) J_h(0)] \rangle = \int ds \frac{\rho(s)}{q^2 - s + i\epsilon}, \quad (12)$$

where the spectral density is $\rho(s)$ and is given by

$$\rho(s) = \sum_i |\langle i | J_h | \text{vac} \rangle|^2 \delta(s - M^2); \quad (13)$$

$|i\rangle$ represents all possible states created by the current. Since the left-hand side of eq. (12) is of order N_c , from eq. (8) one immediately sees that there must exist contributions to $\rho(s)$ which are also of order N_c ; hence there exist states i for which $\langle i | J_h | \text{vac} \rangle \sim N_c^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Before showing that J_h only creates single-particle states, it is instructive to demonstrate that consistency of the spectral decomposition along with eqs. (8) and (10) requires the existence of exotic states. The method is by contradiction. Assuming confinement and no exotics, the only states which contribute to the spectral function $\rho(s)$ are multi-particle states made of ordinary meson and glueballs. In such a case, it follows that there must exist some class of states with n_m mesons and m_g glueballs for which

$$\langle n_m \text{ mesons}; n_g \text{ glueballs} | J_h | \text{vac} \rangle \sim N_c^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (14)$$

Having created this multi-particle state at some time using the current, J_h , one can annihilate it by acting at subsequent times using n_m J_m currents and m_g J_g currents. From eq. (14) and eq. (10) one sees that this implies

$$\langle T [J_{m1}(x_{m1}) \dots J_{mn_m}(x_{mn_m}) J_{g1}(x_{g1}) \dots J_{gn_g}(x_{gn_g}) J_h(0)] \rangle_c \sim N_c^{(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n_m}{2} + n_g)}. \quad (15)$$

Equation (15) is in contradiction with eq. (8) unless $n_g = 0$ and $n_m = 1$. However, $n_g = 0$ and $n_m = 1$ corresponds to a single meson state; if the quantum numbers are exotic (*e.g.*, $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$), then there are no single meson states and one has a contradiction. One concludes that the assumption that no quantum number exotic states exist is wrong.

To show that J_h only creates single-particle hybrid states at leading order, consider the states contributing to the spectral function. Recall $\rho(s)$ is simply π times the imaginary part of the two-point function. Next, consider the imaginary part of the two-point function described diagrammatically in terms of QCD. This can be found by cutting the diagram in all possible ways. Following Witten's analysis in ref. [17] one sees that to leading order in N_c , all such cuts are composed of quark-antiquark and multiple gluon states which form a color singlet combination that cannot be decomposed into more than one color singlet combination. Assuming confinement, this implies that only single-particle asymptotic states are formed to leading order in N_c since two or more particle states would require two or more distinct color singlet combinations of quarks and gluons. From this, one deduces that at large N_c , the only states $|i\rangle$ which contribute to the spectral sum are single-particle exotic hybrid states and that the coupling strength is given by eq. (11). The two-point function is therefore given by a sum of pole terms:

$$\int d^4x e^{-iq \cdot x} \langle T [J_h^\dagger(x) J_h(0)] \rangle = N_c \sum_k \frac{|c_k|^2}{q^2 - m_k^2 + i\epsilon}. \quad (16)$$

At this stage it becomes clear that so far as N_c counting is concerned hybrids and mesons behave in exactly the same way. All of the standard large N_c analysis of mesonic widths, n-meson coupling strengths, couplings to glueballs, and so forth, depend only on the fact that multi-point functions involving at least one meson current are all of order N_c , and that J_m (J_g) creates single-particle states with an amplitude $\sim N_c^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ($\sim N_c$) [17,18]. On the other hand, from eq. (11) one sees that the amplitude for J_h to make a hybrid is also $\sim N_c^{\frac{1}{2}}$; from eq. (8) one sees that multi-point functions containing hybrids are also of order N_c . Thus, without further computation one can simply apply *all* of the N_c scaling behavior derived for mesons to hybrids. In particular, the effective coupling between n_h hybrids and n_m mesons is given by eq. (1).

Consider the case of a hybrid decaying into two mesons, $n_h = 1$, $n_m = 2$. Equation (1) shows that this amplitude is $N_c^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ implying that the partial width to two-meson decay is N_c^{-1} . Similarly, if phase space allows a decay to two hybrids, or a hybrid and a meson, one sees that the partial width is also N_c^{-1} . The partial width for decays including glueballs or more than two mesons or hybrids is N_c^{-2} or smaller. One concludes that at large N_c hybrids decay predominantly into two-particle states and that their widths are of order $1/N_c$.

In exact analogy with the meson case, one can deduce the existence of an infinite number of distinct hybrid states for any given quantum number as $N_c \rightarrow \infty$. The basic strategy is to consider the two-point function for large space-like q^2 (*i.e.*, $q^2 = -Q^2$). In such a regime, perturbative QCD is valid, and the two-point function is dominated by diagrams with the fewest number of coupling constants. In this case, this is a two-loop graph. Using simple dimensional analysis, it is clear that such graphs must go as Q^6 modulo logarithmic corrections. On the other hand, from eq. (16) one sees that if there were only a finite number of hybrid states contributing, the correlation function at large Q^2 would decay as $1/Q^2$. The only way to reconcile this is if there are an infinite number of hybrid states with given quantum numbers. As a practical matter, for any given finite N_c , the width of the resonance grows as one goes to higher masses as there is increased phase space for the decay. Thus, for any finite N_c there will only be a finite number of narrow resonance before the states become wide and overlapping.

The preceding argument shows that in large N_c QCD there must be narrow states with hybrid quantum numbers. What does this tell us about the real world of $N_c = 3$? If the large N_c world is a reasonable caricature of the physical world the argument suggests that the spectrum should contain hybrid states with widths comparable to those of mesons. In a certain sense, this is not a very strong prediction; typical mesonic widths are strongly channel dependent due to both the details of the dynamics of the coupling and the phase space available for decay. Therefore it remains possible that all of the quantum number exotic hybrid widths are “accidentally” large. Nevertheless, the analysis presented in this letter is significant: it is the first argument based on a systematic expansion (as opposed to a model) which suggests that narrow exotics states exist in the QCD spectrum.

The author wishes to thank Eric Swanson for raising the question of how hybrids behave in large N_c QCD, and thanks Manoj Banerjee and Xiangdong Ji for interesting discussions. The financial support of the U.S. Department of Energy under grant number DE-FG02-93ER-40762 is gratefully acknowledged.

- [1] E. S. Swanson and A. P. Szczepaniak, Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997) 5692.
- [2] Y. S. Kalashnikova, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **56A** (1997) 199.
- [3] P. R. Page, hep-ph/9611375.
- [4] A. Afanasev and P. R. Page, hep-ph/9712388.
- [5] P. R. Page, hep-ph/9709231.
- [6] F. E. Close and P. R. Page, Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997) 1584.
- [7] F. E. Close and P. R. Page, Phys. Rev. **D52** (1995) 1706.
- [8] E. Swanson, hep-ph 9706468.
- [9] P. Lacock, C. Michael, P. Boyle and P. Rowland, Phys. Lett. **B401** (1997) 308.
- [10] P. Lacock, C. Michael, P. Boyle and P. Rowland, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 6997.
- [11] C. Bernard, *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **53** (1997) 228.
- [12] C. Bernard, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D 56** (1997) 7039.
- [13] C. Michael, hep-ph 9710502.
- [14] M. Peardon, hep-lat 9710029.
- [15] The E852 Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 1630.
- [16] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B 72** (1974) 461; **B117** (1976) 519.
- [17] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys **B 160** (1979) 57. Although this is a classic paper on baryons in large N_c , its introductory material on mesons is a very clear review.
- [18] S. Coleman, *Aspects of Symmetry* (Cambridge University Press Cambridge, 1985), Chap. 8.